

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 10/651,050
 08/29/2003
 Richard M. Powell
 87276.1600
 8987

 7590
 05/11/2005
 EXAMINER

 BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
 WILSON, GREGORY A

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3749

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/651,050	POWELL ET AL.
·	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Gregory A. Wilson	h the correspondence address
Period for Reply	i appears on the cover sheet wit	ii the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second and reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	30 August 2004.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 and 21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 7,11-16,18 and 19 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,9,17 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5,6,8 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) and 19 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) and 19 is/are allowed and 10 is/are allowed and 10 is/are allowed and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to restriction and 10 is/are objected to a subject to	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
The oath of declaration is objected to by the	ie Examinei. Note trie attacheu	Office Action of John F10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Be * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Appriority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	🗖 :	(270.440)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-, ———	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 8/30/04 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 4-9, 15, 17, 19, and 21 under Hutchinson (6,393,212) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Davies et al (5,467,424) and Davies et al in view of Bleckmann (5,020,128).

Davies et al (5,467,424) was cited by the examiner in office action dated 2/10/04, in which case the applicant filed arguments dated 5/6/04 to overcome. The rejection was withdrawn in office action dated 6/22/04, but upon further review of the reference and the applicants reply to the reference, the examiner has reconsidered his position and is herewith reintroducing Davies et al as a viable reference over the applicants claimed invention. The applicants primary argument against the Davies et al reference was that Davies et al does not teach a substantially rigid, generally tubular vessel located within a housing. Attention is directed to Figure 6, in which case a marked up copy of Figure 6 has been included in the office action to show what the examiner considers to be the housing. Using this logic, the tubular vessel (10) is within the housing and also encloses the heating element (24). The vessel (10) is substantially rigid and is illustrated as being tubular in Figures 1 and 2. This is deemed to properly read on the applicants' claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3749

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 9, 17, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davies (5,467,424). Davies et al discloses an apparatus for generating steam and includes a housing (unnumbered, but is the portion surrounding the boiler, SEE Figure 6), a substantially rigid, tubular vessel (10) located within the housing, a heating element (24) located with the tubular vessel, a water inlet (34), a steam outlet (50), a valve (inherent) configured to regulate the amount of water applied to the water inlet based on the water level (SEE column 3, lines 17-26), a piping (drain tube and valve) located at a low point to provide draining (SEE column 3, line 50-54), and a water level controller (SEE column 2, lines 25-28).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davies et al (5,467,424) in view of Bleckmann (5,020,128). Davies et al discloses the applicant's primary inventive concept as stated above, but does not particularly teach

the means in which the tubular vessel is attached to the housing. Bleckmann teaches in Figure 1 an immersion tubular heater with a flange plate configured for receiving fasteners for attaching to a tubular vessel. It would have been an obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tubular vessel of Davies et al by having a flange on one end of the heating element as taught by Bleckmann for receiving fasteners for attaching the tubular vessel, since the applicant has not disclosed that having a flange solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the steam generator of Davies et al would perform equally well with any desired attachment means between the tubular vessel and the housing since they do not affect the functionality of the invention.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5, 6, 8, and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 7, 11-16, 18, and 19 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory A. Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 308-1239. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 am - 4:30 pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,050 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GREGORY WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINES

Gaw

May 5, 2005

Nov. 14, 1995

